

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/876,227	06/08/2001	Mark Neuschutz	MERCK 2276	6191
23599	7590 05/07/2002			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, NIHIR B	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	
		DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			AT				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/876,227	NEUSCHUTZ ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nihir Patel	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE M - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ve to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	İ				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
<u> </u>	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
• —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or or	election requirement.	•				
	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
,	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	r priority under do d.d.d. 3 7 rd(,				
۵٫۱	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	}				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
* 5	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) 🗌 <i>A</i>	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((e) (to a provisional application				
)						
Attachmen	t(s)		1				
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) thation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
C Botont and T	rademark Office						



Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the



Art Unit: 3743

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Harry B. Shubin on May 1, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Henry Bennett Supervisory Patent Examiner